

# Brother Recounts How He Came To Suspect Kaczynski Was Bomber

David Kaczynski Asks That Theodore Be Spared Death  
Penalty If Convicted

David Johnston and Janny Scott

May 26, 1996

Breaking his public silence, David Kaczynski described in an interview how he had reluctantly come to the “horrible” realization that his older brother, Theodore, could be the Unabomber.

He recounted his anguished decision to turn him in to prevent more lives from being lost, and he pleaded that his brother, if convicted in the fatal bomb attacks, be spared the death penalty.

Over six hours on Tuesday, David Kaczynski recalled how, at first, he had resisted his wife’s suggestions last summer that Ted might be the Unabomber. When she prodded him to read the Unabomber’s 35,000-word manifesto on the Internet, he sat, in shock, before a glowing computer screen in the library of the upstate New York college where his wife taught.

Dismay turned to anger, David said, when he and his wife, Linda Patrik, realized they might have unwittingly helped finance two of the Unabomber’s deadly attacks by agreeing to requests Ted made for money, \$1,000 in 1994 and \$2,000 in 1995, each about two months before a bombing.

In the interview, accompanied by his lawyer, Anthony P. Bisceglie, David would not say whether he believes his brother is guilty. But he said that his belief that he had acted properly had been confirmed by events since February, when he provided Ted’s identity to the government with the help of Bisceglie, a corporate and white-collar trial lawyer in Washington, D.C.

Even so, David Kaczynski said, he was speaking out now in the hope that a fuller understanding of his brother would humanize a figure who he said had been erroneously depicted in some accounts as an evil genius who had lashed out at the technological world he abhorred.

And, he said, by agreeing to talk now, he might help save his brother’s life later in a case in which the death penalty could be imposed.

“Clearly, part of my whole involvement in coming forward in this whole thing was a respect for life, that human life is really valuable, that certainly Ted did not in my mind have adequate justification,” David said. “If he did attack people and kill people, that was wrong. But by the same token, I feel it would be very wrong if he were killed in the name of some notion or principle of justice. I think it’s important that people see him as a human being.”

He added: “I think the interests of justice are best served in this case by the truth, and I think that truth from my point of view is that Ted has been a disturbed person for a long time and he’s gotten more disturbed. It serves no one’s interest to put him to death, and certainly, it would be an incredible anguish for our family if that were to happen.”

So far, Ted Kaczynski, a Harvard-trained mathematician who was arrested on April 3, has not been charged with any Unabom crimes. He is being held in a Montana jail on federal charges of possessing explosive components. But based on the trove of evidence discovered in Kaczynski’s mountain cabin, law-enforcement officials said,

federal prosecutors are preparing to charge him in the nearly 18-year-long string of package bombings, which killed 3 people and injured 23 others.

In the interview, conducted in a 45th-floor hotel suite with a sweeping view of midtown Manhattan, David said he had been closer than anyone to his brother until Ted angrily spurned him in 1989 for deciding to get married.

David said he had been profoundly influenced by Ted's uncompromising intellect, his love of wild places, his compassion for children, even what he described as his brother's startling moments of kindness.

David, a 46-year-old social worker from Schenectady, N.Y., who works in a shelter for runaways, sought to keep the interview focused on his brother rather than himself. He also declined to be photographed.

Thoughtfully, and at times emotionally, David detailed the life history of his 54-year-old brother and cast new light on the suspect's personality, mental problems, and troubled relationships, on the evolution of his ideas, and even on the sources of money that allowed him to travel around the country.

But David said part of his brother's mind remained obscure even to him, partly because of Ted's extremely private nature and the disparity in their ages.

"He's quite a mystery to me," he said. "I work with people all the time. His dynamics I never followed."

David traced his own role in his brother's life - from an admiring kid brother to a vagabond companion in the wilderness, and, eventually, to a bewildered victim of his brother's inexplicable rages and rejection.

David said he had paid little attention to the Unabom case until last year and had not suspected that his brother might be the long-sought serial bomber until after The New York Times and The Washington Post jointly financed the publication of the bomber's manifesto in The Washington Post last September.

It was his wife, Linda Patrik, who had never met Ted, who was the first to mention the possibility, initially as a small joke between them. "Hey, you've got this screwy brother," he recalled her saying. "Maybe he's the guy." But the banter planted a kernel of doubt in David's mind.

Then in the summer of 1995, on a vacation in Paris, Professor Patrik heard about a rash of terrorist bombings in France and read a surge of news accounts about the Unabomber.

"She was actually taking it seriously by the time I got there," David said. "She said, 'I think we need to look into this.' I pretty much said, 'Yeah, if the opportunity arose.' I dismissed it."

But the thoughts refused to go away. "I didn't put it out of my mind," David said. "It was kind of there. We heard that the manifesto was going to be published."

The manifesto was published on Sept. 19, but David put off reading it because of visits by relatives, including his mother, Wanda.

Eventually, one day in early October, the couple went to a library at Union College, in Schenectady, N.Y., where Professor Patrik teaches philosophy, to find a copy of the document.

The newspaper copy of the manifesto was missing, so a librarian helped them find it on the Internet. The computer version contained only the introductory section of the manuscript. "But Linda, she was looking at my face when I was reading those six pages," David said. "My jaw dropped."

Could he remember those feelings? he was asked.

"Chills, I think," he said. "Felt some anger. I was prepared to read the manifesto and be able to dismiss any possibility that it would be Ted, but it continued to sound enough like him that I was really upset that it could be him."

David said he and Linda had thought about the dates of the two most recent - and fatal - bombings. Then they thought about the money they had sent Ted. "I just felt awful about that," he said. "My wife expressed a great deal of anger that money was sent, partly hers, that might have killed other people."

Later, when he read the full text of the manifesto, his dread deepened, David said. On the advice of a lifelong friend, he wrote to Ted. "I told him that I regretted very much the strain in our relationship and said I would like to come visit him," David said. "I wanted to see him after all these years."

But Ted "wrote back that the very suggestion made him feel awful and made him feel angry," David said.

David agonized over what to do next. "It was horrible, there's no question about that," he said. "One concern was if, God forbid, I were in a position to prevent more lives from being lost, I couldn't do otherwise. The other concern was for Ted himself, his psychological well-being. It was horrible to me that I was considering my brother to be this person."

Meanwhile, David and Linda had agreed to approach Susan Swanson, an old friend from Chicago who was a private investigator, to evaluate the couple's anxious questions in confidence. "We went to her first asking for information, how she would go about handling a potential case, someone we knew committed a serious crime," David said.

David's biggest worry was Ted's reaction: could he keep his investigation secret from Ted? And if investigators approached him, how would he react? "If he were guilty, he likely would be silent or say little," David said. "If he were innocent, the emotional upheaval would be devastating to him and might have some irrevocable effect that would be disastrous to his well-being."

Then David and Linda found old letters by Ted that seemed to match the prose style of the Unabomber - "certain kinds of phrases" with epithets mixed in. Ted seemed to fit the FBI's profile of the suspect.

Ted's antipathy toward technology seemed to resonate through the manifesto.

In January, after comparing the manifesto to samples of Ted's writing, the investigator and other analysts concluded that there was a significant chance he was the author. David and Linda decided to make contact with the authorities.

The Ted K Archive

David Johnston and Janny Scott  
Brother Recounts How He Came To Suspect Kaczynski Was Bomber  
David Kaczynski Asks That Theodore Be Spared Death Penalty If Convicted  
May 26, 1996

The Spokesman Review

**[www.thetedkarchive.com](http://www.thetedkarchive.com)**