APR 23 1999

IN THE UNITED STATES DISERVENTED TO FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALAFORNIA THEODORE JOHN KACZYNSKI

Petitioner, CIV. S-99= 816 GEB V.

Criminal Actions No CR-5-96-259 GEB V CR-5-98-21 GEB UNITED STATES Respondent

COMBINED VERIFIED PRO SE MOTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. \$ 2255 TO VACATE GUILTY PLEAS AND SENTENCES AND SET ASIDE CONVICTIONS; MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND THIS MOTION FOLLOWING SUFFICIENT TIME TO INVESTIGATE AND PRESENT ADDITIONAL FACTS OR CLAIMS ABOUT WHICH THE INCARCERATED, PRO SE

MOTION TO RECUSE THE HONORABLE GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR. Theodore John Kaczynski 04475-046 P.O. Box 8500 Florence CO 81226-8500

PETITIONER IS AT PRESENT UNAWARE;

Pro Se

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Professor Michael Mello, of Vermont Law School, and three law students who worked under his direct supervision, Ingrid Bussom, Jason Ferreira, and Rich Hentz, without whose generous, pro bono assistance I could not have prepared this petition. I emphasize strongly that Professor Mello and his students are in no way responsible for the defects of this petition. Owing to certain circumstances, I was obliged to prepare this petition in great haste within a period of little more than two weeks. Consequently, I was not able to take full advantage of the ideas and legal information with which Professor Mello and his students had previously provided me, nor was I able to submit this petition to them for their criticisms.

I would also like to acknowledge the fact that Quin Denvir and Judy Clarke generously, efficiently, and promptly provided me with decuments that I needed for this petition, and helped me with mechanical details such as the wording of the Verification and the Certificate of Service, even though they knew that this petition would be sharply critical of their performance in my case.

90 Q	TABLE		NTENIS	ij	1 122
<u>I</u> .	PRELIMINA	RY INF	ORMATIO	V	1
<u>II.</u>	BRIEF SU	MMARY O	F THE E	VENTS	3
11. RE	I. LEGAL Lief	AND FAC	TUAL BA	ASES FOR	
the ather thoris pession plants	Section 1. Sous tactics of moment at a flict with hi (a) Until Not torneys system of intentions and the comber 18, 19 and from 18, 19 and from 1998 Section 2. I essure, deception and from 1998	to delay u which th im came 1 ovember 25 matically with res ki's attor lay, from 197, in 1 ith them 1 ember 22 December 2 Caczynski's tion, intin vent Kaczy J. Tony Se	ntil Janua eir irrecon to a head to 1997, Ka deceived pect to m neys cause November Kaczynskii; to the att agreement 12, 1997 t attorneys midation, an aski from arra, an at	ry 5, 1998, cilable czynski's him about ental-status d a 25, to s bringing ention of and the c January used d violent securing torney who	- 5 32 37

Section 3. Kaczynski's conflict with his attorneys comes to a head (January 5 to January 22, 1998).---- 67

Section 4. Judge Burrell erred in

refusing to allow Kaczynski to represent himself.

(a) Judge Burrell's decision not to allow Kaczynski to represent himself was based on factual findings that were clearly

Section 5. Judge Burrell erred in ruling that Kaczynski's attorneys had the right to force a mental-status defense on him over his objections.-----96

the judge should have allowed Kuczynski to

Section 6. In forcing a mental-status defense on him over his objections, Kaczynski's attorneys rendered ineffective assistance.

Section 7. Kaczynski's guilty plea was Section 8. Kaczynski maintains that he does not suffer from paranoid schizophrenia and that the declarations of the defense mental-health experts are Section 9. Epilogue. ----- 11; Section 10. Bases for requesting leave to amend this petition after it has been IV. RELIEF SOUGHT ----12 V. VERIFICATION -----